IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 24384 of 2004

Between:

T.Varalaxmi, W/o. late T.V. Reddy,

Aged 45 years, R/o.1-6-141/35/613,

Sriram Nagar, Suryapet,

Nalgonda District

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Divisional Manager,

A.P.S.R.T.C., Suryapet, Nalgonda District.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent herein in not considering the Appeal/Representation submitted by the petitioner herein dated 11.6.2004 against the removal order, dated 30.8.2003 as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondent to consider the Appeal/Representatiion, dated 11.6.2004 which is pending and pass appropriate order.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.M.R.TAGORE (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

The Court at the admission stage, made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the respondent in not considering the appeal/representation, dated 11.6.2004 submitted by the petitioner against the removal order, dated 30.8.2003 passed by the Depot Manager, Kodada Depot removing the husband of the petitioner from service on the ground of his unauthorized absence from duty, as illegal and arbitrary.

None appeared for the petitioner.

Heard the learned standing counsel for the respondents and perused the material available on record.

A domestic enquiry was conducted against the husband of the petitioner for certain irregularities. As the disciplinary authority accepted the enquiry report, he ordered removal of the husband of the petitioner from service. Challenging the same, the petitioner filed an appeal before the respondent on 11.6.2004. An appropriate decision has to be taken by the respondent on the appeal filed by the petitioner in accordance with law.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the respondent to pass appropriate orders on

the appeal/representation, dated 11.6.2004 submitted by the writ petitioner in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petitioner is disposed of in terms of the above direction. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU,J.)

28th December, 2004.

bcj

To

1 The Divisional Manager,

A.P.S.R.T.C., Suryapet, Nalgonda District.

2 Two C.D. copies